Competing trajectories for digital technologies and skills among Atlantic Canadian wood product companies

Yves Bourgeois, PhD

Dean of studies, Université de Moncton, Shippagan

yves.bourgeois@umoncton.ca

Smart specialization, Sevilla, 25-28 September 2018



Smart specialization framework

smart specialization: a place-based approach that takes a broad view of innovation, builds on local assets, and focuses investments onto competitive strengths, with public sector and a broad set of stakeholders supporting a private sector approach of entrepreneurial discovery (European Commission, Smart specialization platform).

Smart specialization policy prescriptions suggest

- privileging investments into higher growth companies using more innovative technologies and higher level skills.
- They extract more value from resources and can tap better into global value chains.
- They show more interest developing high value back end technologies, systems and platforms.



Atlantic Canadian forestry case study

site visits and interviews

"Older" forestry

- Products: pulp, paper, lumber, furniture, finishings
- Capital: \$150k harvesters, P&P and lumber mills
- \$15-20/hr mechanical skills, manual labour, weeks of training, turnover (varies w/ oil prices)
- Profits from volume (vs margins) of low VA commodity

"Newer" forestry

- Traditional products
 - + new streams, ex. Bioenergy (biomass CHP + green diesel), fibre for MedTech + adv materials, higher VA mfg / constr (eg corrugated wood)
- \$500k harvesters, CHP plants, product and supply chain diversity
- Human-assisted robotization of harvesting, measurement, inventory geotagging and management, ERP use, remote sensing (eg moisture)
- \$30-40/hr, months of training, little turnover, premium on digital and general skills, not industry-specific (more in-house training)
- Lower volume, low (traditional products) and high (new products) VA





Competing trajectories

Smart specialization would suggest 'new forestry' as favored trajectory

- High growth + high skill
- Higher value add in global value chains (GVCs)
- Back end technology and platform opportunities
- However, no clear Shumpeterian path. Incumbents and upstarts both innovate, with varied impact on skills and employment. Incumbents...
 - invest in skill- and job-displacement vs skill- and job-enhancing technologies (eg autonomous machinery, vehicles)
 - show less interest in back end tech and platform development
 - successfully resist disruption; use state to raise market entry barriers, both financial (e.g. subsidized training) and non-financial (access to feedstock), stifling innovation, diversification and value capture



Can policy push trajectory?

Smart specialization and digital prosperity requires vision, alignment, patience, particularly in seizing cleantech, medtech, buildtech

• This requires policy bench strength which smaller jurisdictions may lack

Territorial context matters

Prince Edward Island

policy priority to challengers (energy diversification, value capture)

New Brunswick

 policy priority to dominant incumbent (land mgt, access to markets, monoculture, spraying, no FITs, subsidized training programs)



Generalizations

- Competing trajectories are not unique to resource sectors (eg mfg, FIRE)
- Digital technologies may both upskill (human-assisted robotization, industrial design) or deskill / displace workers (autonomous harvesters, vehicles).
- While incumbents may not resist technological innovations, they may very well choose 'job-less' trajectories
- Territorial governments have an interest to favor smart spec trajectories, but they need
 - policy capacity
 - wherewithal to shift resources away from incumbents
 - patience to look beyond booms and towards higher value-added and back end tech development opportunities



Acknowledgements

- Social sciences and humanities research council (SSHRC), Creating digital opportunities, David Wolfe (PI)
- SSHRC, Continuum of lifelong digital competencies, Victor Freiman (PI)

